

Doris Matsui Research

Key Findings Summaries.....	2
Key Findings Background.....	6
Supported by Corporate PACs, Not Grassroots.....	6
AIPAC Support and Pro-Israel Actions.....	6
Matsui Is Allied With Billionaire Class She’s Part Of.....	12
Matsui Is Married to Founder of Energy Company That Lobbies Congress.....	15
Matsui’s Trump Donors.....	17
Missing Matsui.....	17
Matsui Running Dirty Campaign.....	21
Soft on ICE, Backed By ICE Contractors.....	22
Funded by Defense Contractors Profiting Off Genocide in Gaza.....	25
M4A Opposition, Support From Pharma and Insurance Industry PACs.....	25

Key Findings Summaries

- **Supported by Corporate PACs, Not Grassroots:** Doris Matsui's campaigns have been funded by big PACs, not voters, with over 60% of her donations coming from PACs – not individuals – in the last ten years. The Sacramento Bee described Matsui's campaign as “funded for years by corporate donations.” Notably, in the 2024 campaign cycle, Matsui received over 70% of her contributions from PACs, and in the 2018 cycle she received 76% from PACs. And when Matsui has decided to raise funds from individuals, she has almost exclusively turned to big donors, with just 3% of her total contributions coming from donations of \$200 or less in recent cycles.
- **AIPAC Support and Pro-Israel Actions:** Matsui has been a longtime ally with AIPAC, and her attempt to support Palestinian rights only after facing a serious political challenger has been panned as “more opportunistic than ideological.”
 - *Refuse to Reject AIPAC Money:* In 2025, faced with questions from constituents, Matsui reportedly said “she would not agree to refuse AIPAC donations,” stating that “it doesn't matter who gives (her) money.” Throughout her career, Matsui has accepted nearly \$20,000 from AIPAC. Matsui was endorsed by AIPAC in the 2024 cycle.
 - *Refuse To Call Israel's Actions a Genocide:* At a community meeting in 2025, Matsui refused to call Israel's actions in Palestine a genocide.
 - *For Years, Matsui Opposed Pro-Palestinian Measures:* In 2009, Matsui voted for a resolution opposing the UN Goldstone Report on the Gaza conflict, and in 2017, she voted for a resolution objecting to UN Security Council Resolution 2334 on settlements. Matsui supported an anti-BDS resolution in 2019.
 - *About-Face on Israeli Military Funding:* Matsui's voting record on Israel includes a 2024 vote for the \$26.4 billion Israel Security Supplemental. Notably, Matsui two years later voted for Thomas Massie's amendment to bar all FY27 funds for Israel and cut \$3.3 billion in Foreign Military Financing. In explaining her vote, Matsui stated she would keep funding Israeli defensive programs.
 - *Support for Broad Antisemitism Definition:* In 2024, Matsui voted for the Antisemitism Awareness Act, which imports the IHRA definition of antisemitism into Title VI, a vote that 70 Democrats opposed.
- **Matsui Is Allied With Billionaire Class She's Part Of:** Matsui tries to claim she “puts hardworking Americans first, not Trump's billionaire friends,” yet she has refused to back any current billionaire or wealth tax proposals, her donor roll is filled with billionaires who have received favors from Trump, and she herself is married to a billionaire. Matsui is showing she's not afraid to use her wealth to her advantage, loaning her own campaign \$1.4 million in just one day.

- Matsui is married to a reported billionaire, Roger Sant, who co-founded a power company called AES Corporation – a company with a clear interest in the dealings of Matsui’s U.S. House Committee on Energy and Commerce.
- Matsui’s donor roll also includes donors who have supported Trump and opposed Democrats. Notable among this list is Ronald Lauder, a billionaire who is friends with Trump and who has given his campaign hundreds of thousands of dollars. Matsui has also received thousands from Gap heir William S. Fisher, who was among a group of billionaires who ran attack ads on Obama in 2012.
- There are two telecom billionaires who have received favorable treatment from Trump and his FCC. Charles Ergen – who with his wife has donated nearly \$30,000 to Matsui – met President Trump at the White House in June 2025 to discuss the FCC's potential revocation of EchoStar's wireless spectrum licenses; Trump summoned FCC Chair Brendan Carr into the meeting and pushed for a resolution, boosting EchoStar's market value. The Ergen-Trump discussion also touched on Dish's carriage of Newsmax and Ergen's campaign contributions. Matsui also received \$3,500 from billionaire Irwin Jacobs of Qualcomm, who cheered Trump for blocking the hostile takeover of his company.
- **Matsui Is Married to Founder of Energy Company That Lobbies Congress:** Doris Matsui sits as the fourth-ranking Democrat on the House committee that writes the nation's electricity laws, and on its Energy Subcommittee, while her husband co-founded The AES Corporation, a global power company that has spent millions lobbying Congress since they married. AES Corporation, and Sant’s wealth still tied up in the company, relies on favorable federal government regulations: BlackRock is attempting to take AES private, in a deal that requires FERC approval (which Matsui’s committee has oversight of) and has been criticized by groups including Public Citizen and the Private Equity Stakeholder Project for threatening to harm customers.
 - Since just the start of 2025, AES has spent over \$1 million directly lobbying Congress, with disclosures showing their focus on transmission policy, energy storage, grid reliability and FERC.
 - AES Corporation has a sweeping lobbying operation beyond those direct lobbying disclosures – the company also paid membership dues to various energy industry trade associations, with a total of \$384,844 of that directed toward lobbying, and gave another \$380,000 to "American Energy Action," a 501c4 that advocates on energy industry policy.
 - AES and Sant have also been generous donors to Matsui’s campaigns. After Matsui and Sant were married, AES Corporation’s PAC gave its first donation to Matsui, and has since given a total of \$15,500 to her. Sant, meanwhile, has also personally donated \$47,300 to Matsui’s campaigns.
 - In March 2026, a consortium led by BlackRock agreed with AES to take the company private by buying up shares at a 40% premium. Local and national advocacy groups have filed a complaint about the proposed acquisition to FERC, arguing that

BlackRock – a private equity firm – owning a majority of this public utility could harm consumers through higher energy costs as the company seeks to extract more profits.

- **Matsui's Trump Donors:** Matsui has received \$41,500 from donors who have given millions to Trump committees. Kevin Nagle, the chairman of Sac Soccer Entertainment, has been one of Matsui's all time top donors, giving her campaigns \$37,600. Nagle also gave Trump committees over \$300,000. Matsui also received \$2,900 from Ronald S. Lauder, a longtime close friend of Trump who has donated \$5 million to Trump's MAGA Inc. PAC in 2025. Matsui also received \$1,000 from lobbyist W. Patrick Wilson, who was a Trump administration political appointee and campaign donor.
- **Missing Matsui:** Doris Matsui is a creature of D.C. and barely pretends to be engaged with Sacramento. Until 2005, Matsui worked in Washington, D.C. as a federal lobbyist for corporate interests like the Tobacco Products Manufacturers Coalition. Soon after succeeding her late husband in the U.S. House, Roll Call reported that Matsui declared her Maryland home her "permanent residence" in 2008 while representing Sacramento, and moved to strip the designation and repay the credits only after a Roll Call reporter called. Matsui took roughly \$2,800 in homestead tax breaks on a home "valued at nearly \$1.4 million," was required to repay back taxes to 2005, and her office explained it as "She submitted the form and they gave it to her." More recently, in 2025, a Sacramento Bee opinion columnist wrote that Matsui "doesn't have a place to live in Sacramento. She lives in D.C." Matsui herself has claimed to spend "roughly two-thirds of her time in Washington, D.C., and the other third in Sacramento." Matsui has owned a home in Sacramento since 2020 on which she does not take a "homeowners exemption" – a property tax exemption for owners who "occupy your home as your principal place of residence." Matsui's husband Roger Sant lists Washington, D.C. as his address in contributions to Matsui. During the current campaign, Matsui has refused to show up and speak to voters. The Sacramento Bee's editorial board declined to endorse Matsui after she refused both a debate and a joint interview. The Sacramento Bee called Matsui's refusal to debate "a step backward in local democracy and election transparency" and said "Matsui is the most notable political candidate in California and the only frontline Democrat who has declined to meet with us."
- **Matsui Running Dirty Campaign:** In May 2026, Matsui updated her campaign redbox to signal to outside groups to "promote one of the Republicans running against her" in an attempt to divert votes away from her opponent Mai Vang. Weeks later, Inclusion PAC spent nearly \$120,000 on digital ads to boost the Republican's campaign – the PAC was funded exclusively by one of Matsui's donors. Punchbowl News listed Matsui among the 2026 cycle's "dirty tricks," reporting she "used her red box to urge outside groups to spend for a GOP candidate and block primary challenger Mai Vang." A columnist declared "the campaign Matsui is responsible for has been odious" and a "campaign of deception and obfuscation" for "elevating a Republican," dodging campaigns, and inviting attacks on Vang's patriotism.
- **Soft on ICE, Backed By ICE Contractors:** While facing a still political challenge, Matsui has claimed she wants to take on Trump's ICE and hold them accountable – but her voting record and campaign donor rolls show she's long been content with ICE. The Sacramento Bee noted that Matsui's 2026 campaign rhetoric on ICE "marks a sharp departure from her

congressional voting record” where “she voted for five government spending packages that included nearly \$42 billion for ICE.” Matsui voted for ICE funding even as “one-third of House Democrats” opposed and “cited funding for the first Trump administration as “immoral” immigration policies and “unchecked” military spending.” In recent years, Matsui has received \$41,000 from corporate PACs tied to some of ICE’s top contractors profiting off of Trump’s ICE.

- **Funded by Defense Contractors Profiting Off Genocide in Gaza:** Matsui’s campaign has taken \$423,000 from PACs of corporations that are profiting off genocide in Gaza. The American Friends Service Committee compiled a list of 65 companies that “have provided Israel with weapons and other military equipment used in its attacks on Gaza, the West Bank, Lebanon, and Syria since October 2023.” From that list, Matsui has received 259 contributions from 14 of the companies. Matsui’s donors include major defense contractor PACs such as Honeywell, Northrop Grumman, Lockheed Martin, and Raytheon.
- **M4A Opposition, Support From Pharma and Insurance Industry PACs:** Matsui has taken the side of her big pharma and insurance industry corporate donors and opposes Medicare for All. Matsui has not been a sponsor of recent Medicare for All legislation. She told a reporter that “she does not support Medicare for All,” saying “the path there is complicated.” At the April 2026 forum Vang said Matsui opposes single-payer because she “takes money from big pharma and insurance companies,” and Matsui answered, “I’m not averse to Medicare For All, but there are other pathways there, too” Matsui has specifically received at least 257 donations totaling \$504,700 from corporate PACs and trade associations with documented histories opposing Medicare For All. More generally, throughout her career, Matsui has received at least \$569,000 from pharmaceutical and insurance industry PACs, and another \$564,000 from healthcare provider PACs.

Key Findings Background

Supported by Corporate PACs, Not Grassroots

Doris Matsui's campaigns have been funded by big PACs, not voters, with over 60% of her donations coming from PACs – not individuals – in the last ten years. The Sacramento Bee described Matsui's campaign as “funded for years by corporate donations” Notably, in the 2024 campaign cycle, Matsui received over 70% of her contributions from PACs, and in the 2018 cycle she received 76% from PACs. And when Matsui has decided to raise funds from individuals, she has almost exclusively turned to big donors, with just 3% of her total contributions coming from donations \$200 or less.

Matsui Contribution Toplines – Last Ten Years								
Cycle	Total Receipts	Total Contributions	Itemized Indiv.	Unitemized Indiv.	PAC	Loans	PAC % of Cont	Small \$ % of Cont.
2026	\$3,192,087.39	\$1,792,087.39	\$812,447.93	\$27,575.64	\$936,963.82	\$1,400,000.00	52.28%	1.54%
2024	\$1,239,231.82	\$1,239,141.80	\$338,267.59	\$28,622.83	\$872,251.38	\$0.00	70.39%	2.31%
2022	\$1,400,594.49	\$1,400,572.47	\$507,038.00	\$112,434.80	\$777,304.67	\$0.00	55.50%	8.03%
2020	\$1,023,901.06	\$1,023,810.41	\$268,240.00	\$6,570.41	\$748,750.00	\$0.00	73.13%	0.64%
2018	\$1,067,180.03	\$1,067,180.03	\$221,230.02	\$27,055.26	\$818,591.26	\$0.00	76.71%	2.54%
Total	\$6,855,814.76	\$5,455,612.07	\$1,925,993.52	\$175,203.68	\$3,335,269.87	\$1,400,000.00	61.13%	3.21%

[FEC, accessed [7/30/26](#)]

- **The Sacramento Bee described Matsui's campaign as “funded for years by corporate donations” in a news story about her attacking her challenger over corporate money**
The Sacramento Bee reported, "Rep. Doris Matsui's campaign, funded for years by corporate donations, is criticizing Sacramento Councilmember Mai Vang for previously taking small amounts of corporate money before pledging to reject such contributions in her congressional race. The criticism is the latest in a series of jabs exchanged between the two campaigns as the primary election nears. Vang is attempting to unseat Matsui in California's 7th Congressional District, which includes Sacramento's downtown core. Matsui has held the seat for more than 20 years with little serious opposition. In a news release this week, Matsui's campaign highlighted that Vang accepted tens of thousands of dollars in corporate donations during her city council campaigns. Vang has repeatedly vowed not to accept money from corporate political action committees in her congressional bid, framing it as a key distinction between herself and Matsui." [Sacramento Bee, [5/20/26](#)]

AIPAC Support and Pro-Israel Actions

Matsui has been a longtime ally with AIPAC, and her attempt to support Palestinian rights only after facing a serious political challenger has been panned as “more opportunistic than ideological.”

- **Refuse to Reject AIPAC Money:** In 2025, faced with questions from constituents, Matsui reportedly said “she would not agree to refuse AIPAC donations,” stating that “it doesn't matter who gives (her) money.” Throughout her career, Matsui has accepted nearly \$20,000 from AIPAC. Matsui was endorsed by AIPAC in the 2024 cycle.

- *Refuse To Call Israel's Actions a Genocide: At a community meeting in 2025, Matsui refused to call Israel's actions in Palestine a genocide.*
- *For Years, Matsui Opposed Pro-Palestinian Measures: In 2009, Matsui voted for a resolution opposing the UN Goldstone Report on the Gaza conflict, and in 2017, she voted for a resolution objecting to UN Security Council Resolution 2334 on settlements. Matsui supported an anti-BDS resolution in 2019.*
- *About-Face on Israeli Military Funding: Matsui's voting record on Israel includes a 2024 vote for the \$26.4 billion Israel Security Supplemental. Notably, Matsui two years later voted for Thomas Massie's amendment to bar all FY27 funds for Israel and cut \$3.3 billion in Foreign Military Financing. In explaining her vote, Matsui stated she would keep funding Israeli defensive programs.*
- *Support for Broad Antisemitism Definition: In 2024, Matsui voted for the Antisemitism Awareness Act, which imports the IHRA definition of antisemitism into Title VI, a vote that 70 Democrats opposed.*

Matsui Has Received Nearly \$20,000 From AIPAC, Was Previously Endorsed By Them, And Refuses To Reject Future Support from AIPAC

Matsui AIPAC Contributions		
Contributor Name	Date	Amount
AMERICAN ISRAEL PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	5/7/2022	\$2,900.00
AMERICAN ISRAEL PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	10/27/2022	\$5,000.00
AMERICAN ISRAEL PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (Conduit)	2/27/2024	\$322.58
AMERICAN ISRAEL PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (Conduit)	10/11/2023	\$1,000.00
AMERICAN ISRAEL PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	3/4/2024	\$5,000.00
AMERICAN ISRAEL PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	3/4/2024	\$5,000.00
Total		\$19,222.58

[FEC, accessed [7/30/26](#)]

- **In 2024, AIPAC endorsed Matsui, congratulated her on her win.** AIPAC tweeted, "Congratulations to AIPAC-endorsed @RepBera, @DorisMatsui, @RepJoshHarder, @RepKevinMullin on your primary election wins! AIPAC is proud to stand with pro-Israel candidates who help strengthen & expand the US-Israel relationship. Being pro-Israel is good policy & good politics." [Twitter, [3/5/24](#)]
- **2025: Matsui refused to reject AIPAC money or to call Israel's actions in Palestine a "genocide."** The Sac Bee reported, "Toward the end of the evening, Matsui was asked if she would refuse campaign contributions from AIPAC, the American Israel Public Affairs Committee. Matsui insisted that "it doesn't matter who gives (her) money," she "doesn't look at that." The audience booed, and a contingent of activists disrupted the meeting,

demanding that Matsui condemn Israel's actions in Gaza. She would not call it a genocide, and she would not agree to refuse AIPAC donations." [Sac Bee, [10/24/25](#)]

Matsui Voted For Years To Arm Israel Before Voting Against It

Year	Bill	What it did	Roll call	Date	Matsui vote	Total	Dem split
2006	H.R. 4681	Palestinian Anti-Terrorism Act	2006-181	5/23/06	Yea	361-37 (Passed)	D 150-31
2009	H.Res. 867	Opposing the UN Goldstone Report on the Gaza conflict	2009-838	11/3/09	Yea	344-36 (Passed)	D 179-33
2010	H.R. 5327	Authorizing assistance to Israel for Iron Dome	2010-284	5/20/10	Yea	410-4 (Passed)	D 244-3
2015	H.R. 3461	Approving the Iran nuclear deal (JCPOA)	2015-493	9/11/15	Yea	162-269 (Failed)	D 162-25
2016	H.Res. 729	Supporting a new long-term U.S.-Israel military aid MOU	2016-504	9/13/16	Yea	405-4 (Passed)	D 171-0
2017	H.Res. 11	Objecting to UN Security Council Resolution 2334 on settlements	2017-11	1/5/17	Yea	342-80 (Passed)	D 109-76
2019	H.Res. 246	Opposing BDS and efforts to delegitimize Israel	2019-497	7/23/19	Yea	398-17 (Passed)	D 209-16

Year	Bill	What it did	Roll call	Date	Matsui vote	Total	Dem split
2023	H.Amdt . 482 to H.R. 4665	Perry amendment to prohibit all funds for UNRWA	2023-495	9/28/23	No	213-218 (Failed)	D 2-210
2023	H.Res. 771	Standing with Israel after October 7	2023-528	10/25/23	Yea	412-10 (Passed)	D 194-9
2023	H.R. 6126	Israel-only \$14.3B supplemental with IRS offset	2023-577	11/2/23	Nay	226-196 (Passed)	D 12-194
2023	H.Res. 845	Censuring Rep. Rashida Tlaib	2023-622	11/7/23	Nay	234-188 (Passed)	D 22-184
2023	H.Res. 927	Condemning campus antisemitism / university presidents	2023-721	12/13/23	Nay	303-126 (Passed)	D 84-125
2024	H.R. 2882	FY24 consolidated appropriations barring UNRWA funds	2024-32	2/5/24	Yea	350-58 (Passed)	D 202-0
2024	H.R. 7217	Israel-only \$17.6B supplemental, on suspension	2024-38	2/6/24	Nay	250-180 (Failed)	D 46-166
2024	H.R. 8034	Israel Security	2024-152	4/20/24	Yea	366-58 (Passed)	D 173-37

Year	Bill	What it did	Roll call	Date	Matsui vote	Total	Dem split
		Supplemental, \$26.4B					
2024	H.R. 6090	Antisemitism Awareness Act (IHRA definition into Title VI)	2024-172	5/1/24	Yea	320–91 (Passed)	D 133–70
2024	H.R. 8369	Israel Security Assistance Support Act (bar arms pauses)	2024-217	5/16/24	Nay	224–187 (Passed)	D 16–184
2024	H.R. 8282	Illegitimate Court Counteraction Act — ICC sanctions	2024-242	6/4/24	Nay	247–155 (Passed)	D 42–155
2024	H.Amdt . 1052 to H.R. 8771	Bar citing Gaza Health Ministry casualty data	2024-313	6/27/24	Not Voting	269–144 (Agreed to)	D 62–142
2025	H.R. 23	Illegitimate Court Counteraction Act — ICC sanctions	2025-7	1/9/25	Nay	243–140 (Passed)	D 45–140
2025	H.Res. 488	Denouncing the antisemitic attack in Boulder, Colorado	2025-158	6/9/25	Nay	280–113 (Passed)	D 75–113
2026	H.Amd t. 235	Massie amendmen	2026-243	7/15/26	Aye	104–314, 10	D 103–98,

Year	Bill	What it did	Roll call	Date	Matsui vote	Total	Dem split
	to H.R. 8595	t: bar all FY27 funds for Israel, cut \$3.3B FMF				present (Failed)	10 present
2026	H.Amdt . 236 to H.R. 8595	Massie amendment: bar all FY27 funds for Jordan	2026-244	7/15/26	No	6-421 (Failed)	D 0-211
2026	H.R. 8595	FY27 State-Foreign Operations appropriations, on passage	2026-247	7/15/26	Nay	217-209 (Passed)	D 1-208

- A Political Science professor said Matsui’s recent critique of Israel’s actions was “more opportunistic than ideological.”** JNS reported, “Matsui stated in February 2024 that she supports Israel’s right to defend itself and has voted to provide aid to the Jewish state, but she voted against an Israel security funding bill because it didn’t address the “humanitarian crisis” in Gaza. At an April 2026 candidate forum, Matsui called Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu a “war criminal,” said she would not support sending “offensive dollars” to Israel and reiterated her support for a two-state solution. “Netanyahu does not represent the Israeli people, just like Donald Trump doesn’t represent the American people,” she said. Schnur told JNS that Matsui’s position on Israel seems “more opportunistic than ideological,” arguing that her recent remarks reflect declining public support for the Israeli prime minister.” [JNS, [7/15/26](#)]
- Matsui defended her vote on the Massie amendment, but did not call Israel’s actions a genocide or Netanyahu a war criminal..** Matsui’s press release said, ““The United States and Israel have a long and important relationship, and I will always support the safety and security of the Israeli people. But the status quo is untenable,” said Congresswoman Matsui. “I cannot support funding for military aid to any country that fails to comply with U.S. law, U.S. interests, and U.S. values. The Netanyahu government has failed to meet its commitments to peace, humanitarian access, and international law. In Gaza, the West Bank, Lebanon, and now in its efforts to draw the United States further into conflict with Iran, Prime Minister Netanyahu’s government has shown that it cannot be trusted to use these funds responsibly. That is why I voted yes today.”” [Office of Rep. Doris Matsui, [7/15/26](#)]
 - Matsui explained the July 2026 vote by conceding the amendment was defective and blaming Republican bad faith, while stating she would keep**

funding Israeli defensive programs. Matsui's press release said, "'This vote is about the conduct of a government—not Israel's right to exist, and never the Israeli people. I will continue to support funding for critical defensive programs. Criticism of a government is never license for antisemitism or Islamophobia, and I will keep fighting both wherever they appear," Matsui continued. "This amendment is not perfect. It is drafted broadly enough that it could affect more than Foreign Military Financing. True security requires a sustainable path: surging humanitarian aid to Gaza, halting settlement construction and settler violence in the West Bank, upholding Palestinian self-determination, and securing permanent peace. "Republican leadership brought this amendment up in bad faith to divide a growing pro-peace coalition," Matsui concluded. "I will not let that bad faith obscure the truth: continuing to send military assistance to the Netanyahu government without accountability is not a path to peace.'" [Office of Rep. Doris Matsui, [7/15/26](#)]

Matsui Is Allied With Billionaire Class She's Part Of

Matsui tries to claim she "puts hardworking Americans first, not Trump's billionaire friends," yet she has refused to back any current billionaire or wealth tax proposals, her donor roll is filled with billionaires who have received favors from Trump, and she herself is married to a billionaire. Matsui is showing she's not afraid to use her wealth to her advantage, loaning her own campaign \$1.4 million in just one day.

- *Matsui is married to a reported billionaire, Roger Sant, who co-founded a power company called AES Corporation – a company with a clear interest in the dealings of Matsui's U.S. House Committee on Energy and Commerce.*
- *Matsui's donor roll also includes donors who have supported Trump and opposed Democrats. Notable among this list is Ronald Lauder, a billionaire who is friends with Trump and who has given his campaign hundreds of thousands of dollars. Matsui has also received thousands from Gap heir William S. Fisher, who was among a group of billionaires who ran attack ads on Obama in 2012.*
- *There are two telecom billionaires who have received favorable treatment from Trump and his FCC. Charles Ergen – who with his wife has donated nearly \$30,000 to Matsui – met President Trump at the White House in June 2025 to discuss the FCC's potential revocation of EchoStar's wireless spectrum licenses; Trump summoned FCC Chair Brendan Carr into the meeting and pushed for a resolution, boosting EchoStar's market value. The Ergen-Trump discussion also touched on Dish's carriage of Newsmax and Ergen's campaign contributions. Matsui also received \$3,500 from billionaire Irwin Jacobs of Qualcomm, who cheered Trump for blocking the hostile takeover of his company.*

Matsui Refused to Back California's Billionaire Tax Proposal. Ro Khanna's Billionaire Tax Proposal, Or Other Congressional Wealth Tax Proposals

- **Matsui told SFGATE she supports increasing taxes on billionaires but refused to endorse either the federal wealth tax bill from Rep. Ro Khanna or California's billionaire tax ballot initiative** SFGATE reported, "The two candidates also disagree over

policy areas. Vang wants to abolish Immigration and Customs Enforcement, supports both the federal wealth tax proposal from U.S. Rep. Ro Khanna and the state wealth tax ballot initiative, and supports the single-payer health care reform proposal called Medicare for all. Matsui said she supports increasing taxes on billionaires but declined to support either Khanna's proposal or the proposed state ballot initiative. She has not called for the abolishment of ICE. And she said she does not support Medicare for All." [SFGATE, [3/18/26](#)]

- **As of the California Democratic Party's August 2 endorsement vote, Ro Khanna was reported to be the only member of California's congressional delegation to have publicly backed Prop 40.** Politico reported, "The party's executive board narrowly voted to back the measure after earlier votes to endorse it and remain neutral failed. Rep. Maxine Waters attended the board meeting in San Diego, encouraging key yes votes to get Proposition 40 over the finish line, according to three people with knowledge of her efforts granted anonymity to discuss the politically fraught issue. The measure had previously garnered few endorsements from high-ranking elected officials in the party, including just one member of California's congressional delegation, Rep. Ro Khanna." [Politico, [8/2/26](#)]
- **Matsui was not among the cosponsors of Khanna's Make Billionaires Pay Their Fair Share Act (H.R. 7767), the 5% annual wealth tax on America's 938 billionaires** The bill, introduced March 3, 2026, lists Khanna as sponsor with 7 Democratic cosponsors; Matsui is not among them. [HR 7767, accessed [7/31/26](#)]
- **Matsui was not a cosponsor of the House Billionaires Income Tax Act (H.R. 5427),** Rep. Steve Cohen's bill to end the "buy, borrow, die" strategy and require billionaires to pay taxes annually. The bill has 33 co-sponsors, and Matsui is not among the cosponsors [HR 5427, accessed [7/31/26](#)]
- **Matsui was not a cosponsor of the House "Buffett Rule" bill "Paying a Fair Share Act of 2025" (H.R. 2534).** [HR 2534, accessed [7/31/26](#)]
 - **NOTE:** In 2012, Matsui [said](#) she endorsed the "Buffet Rule."
 - **NOTE:** In a Tax Day 2026 [social post](#), Matsui said she would "always fight for an economy that puts hardworking Americans first, not Trump's billionaire friends"; she doesn't make any tax proposals.

Matsui's Husband Co-Founded Energy Company Lobbying Matsui's Committee

Year	Lobbying entity	Amnt.	Quarters	Issue areas lobbied
2025	THE AES CORPORATION	\$560,000	Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4	Energy/Nuclear; Taxation/Internal Revenue Code; Environment/Superfund; Budget/Appropriations; Defense; Foreign Relations
2026	THE AES CORPORATION	\$450,000	Q1, Q2	Energy/Nuclear; Taxation/Internal Revenue Code; Environment/Superfund; Budget/Appropriations; Defense; Foreign Relations

Total	\$1,010,000		
-------	-------------	--	--

[US House Clerk, Lobbying Disclosures, accessed [7/31/26](#)]

- **NOTE:** Total spend on lobbying in 2025 confirmed by AES corporate [disclosures](#).
- **Since 2021, AES Corporation PAC has made 9 donations totaling \$15,500 to Matsui's campaigns.** [FEC, accessed [8/1/26](#)]
- **Since 2005, Roger Sant has made 19 donations totaling \$47,300 to Matsui's campaigns.** [FEC, accessed [8/1/26](#)]

Matsui Loaned Her Campaign \$1.4 million

- April 29, 2026: Matsui made two loans to her campaign totaling \$1.4 million. [FEC, [4/29/26](#)]

Matsui's Campaign Has Received At Least \$150,000 From Billionaires

Matsui Billionaire Donors			
Donor Name	Occupation	Total Cont.	Note
HALE, ROBERT	CEO at GRANITE TELECOM	\$21,250.00	Billionaire on Forbes list. Has " donated " large sums to Republicans' U.S. House and Senate campaign committees but also funded Democrats
ERGEN, CANTEY	SENIOR ADVISOR at DISH NETWORK	\$14,600	Wife of billionaire on Forbes list.
ERGEN, CHARLES	CHAIRMAN at DISH NETWORK	\$14,600	Billionaire on Forbes list. Telecom mogul who lobbied Trump directly
MARS, JACQUELINE B.	PHILANTHROPIST at SELF-EMPLOYED	\$7,000	Billionaire on Forbes list.
GATES, WILLIAM H. III	PHILANTHROPIST at BREAKTHROUGH ENERGY & BILL & MELINDA G	\$8,600	Billionaire on Forbes list. Met with Jeffrey Epstein at least 30 times.
SANDBERG, SHERYL	COO at FACEBOOK	\$5,500	Billionaire on Forbes list.
FISHER, WILLIAM S.	INVESTOR at MANZANITA CAPITAL	\$4,500	Billionaire on Forbes list. Was one of 20 billionaires who secretly funded attack ads on Obama in 2012.
ARNOLD, JOHN	CO-FOUNDER at ARNOLD VENTURES	\$3,500	Billionaire on Forbes list. Former Enron employee, reportedly spent millions to gut public pensions .
LAUDER, RONALD S.	CHAIRMAN/PRESIDENT at LAUDER FOUNDATION	\$2,900	Family donated another \$5,800 on same day Billionaire on Forbes list. Friends with Trump , given his campaigns at least \$200,000
BROAD, ELI	FOUNDER at THE ELI BROAD FOUNDATION	\$11,800	Billionaire on Forbes list (deceased).

SANT, ROGER W.	RETIRED at RETIRED	\$47,300	Reportedly a " billionaire " Married to Matsui
JACOBS, IRWIN	Retired	\$3,500	Billionaire on Forbes list. Cheered Trump when he blocked Broadcom's takeover of Qualcomm
TOTAL		\$145,050	NOTE: This only includes the direct contributions; family members (spouses, children) not included.

Matsui Is Married to Founder of Energy Company That Lobbies Congress

Doris Matsui sits as the fourth-ranking Democrat on the House committee that writes the nation's electricity laws, and on its Energy Subcommittee, while her husband co-founded The AES Corporation, a global power company that has spent millions lobbying Congress since they married. AES Corporation, and Sant's wealth still tied up in the company, relies on favorable federal government regulations: BlackRock is attempting to take AES private, in a deal that requires FERC approval (which Matsui's committee has oversight of) and has been criticized by groups including Public Citizen and the Private Equity Stakeholder Project for threatening to harm customers.

- *Since just the start of 2025, AES has spent over \$1 million directly lobbying Congress, with disclosures showing their focus on transmission policy, energy storage, grid reliability and FERC.*
- *AES Corporation has a sweeping lobbying operation beyond those direct lobbying disclosures – the company also paid membership dues to various energy industry trade associations, with a total of \$384,844 of that directed toward lobbying, and gave another \$380,000 to "American Energy Action," a 501c4 that advocates on energy industry policy.*
- *AES and Sant have also been generous donors to Matsui's campaigns. After Matsui and Sant were married, AES Corporation's PAC gave its first donation to Matsui, and has since given a total of \$15,500 to her. Sant, meanwhile, has also personally donated \$47,300 to Matsui's campaigns.*
- *In March 2026, a consortium led by BlackRock agreed with AES to take the company private by buying up shares at a 40% premium. Local and national advocacy groups have filed a complaint about the proposed acquisition to FERC, arguing that BlackRock – a private equity firm – owning a majority of this public utility could harm consumers through higher energy costs as the company seeks to extract more profits.*

Since 2025, AES Has Spent Over \$1 Million Lobbying Congress on Energy Issues

Year	Lobbying entity	Amnt.	Quarters	Issue areas lobbied
2025	THE AES CORPORATION	\$560,000	Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4	Energy/Nuclear; Taxation/Internal Revenue Code; Environment/Superfund; Budget/Appropriations; Defense; Foreign Relations

2026	THE AES CORPORATION	\$450,000	Q1, Q2	Energy/Nuclear; Taxation/Internal Revenue Code; Environment/Superfund; Budget/Appropriations; Defense; Foreign Relations
Total		\$1,010,000		

[US House Clerk, Lobbying Disclosures, accessed [7/31/26](#)]

- **NOTE:** Total spend on lobbying in 2025 confirmed by AES corporate [disclosures](#).

AES and Roger Sant Have Donated Nearly \$63,000 to Matsui's Campaigns

- Since 2021, AES Corporation PAC has made 9 donations totaling \$15,500 to Matsui's campaigns. [FEC, accessed [8/1/26](#)]
- Since 2005, Roger Sant has made 19 donations totaling \$47,300 to Matsui's campaigns. [FEC, accessed [8/1/26](#)]

AES Corporation Funds Various Energy Industry Lobbying Groups

- In 2025, AES disclosed spending hundreds of thousands on dues to trade associations' lobbying activities, including \$70,000 to American Clean Power Association, \$90,000 to the Business Roundtable, and \$224,844 to Edison Electric Institute. The AES disclosure stated that the amounts listed were "based upon information provided by the trade associations" on the "lobbying portion of dues." [AES, accessed [7/31/26](#)]
- In 2025, AES gave \$380,000 to the American Energy Action, a 501c4 organization. [AES, accessed [7/31/26](#)]

AES Acquisition by BlackRock Entity Protested For Threatening to Raise Energy Rates

- In March 2026, AES announced Global Infrastructure, a part of BlackRock, had agreed to acquire AES for \$15.00 per share, "a 40.3% premium" on the company's recent stock price. [AES, Press Release, [3/2/26](#)]
- Multiple advocacy groups filed a complaint to FERC argued that BlackRock's acquisition of AES would hurt public interest, allowing the private company to own over half of the utility. The Indiana Capital Chronicle reported, "Consumer advocacy groups say BlackRock's move for a controlling stake in utility company AES means the private equity firm needs to be broken up. In a complaint to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission filed Monday, the Citizens Action Coalition of Indiana and two other groups argued that if a planned purchase of AES by investors were to proceed, BlackRock affiliates would control over half of the utility. That includes BlackRock's management of the California Public Employees' Retirement System stake in AES. Public Citizen and the Private Equity Stakeholder Project joined the Hoosier Citizens Action Coalition in the complaint. The Federal Power Act gives FERC authority to approve public utility acquisitions only if "consistent with the public interest." The groups argue that level of control is not. "It is

more important than ever for regulators to carefully examine whether the financial incentives driving Wall Street investments are compatible with the long-term public interest of utilities that power Americans' homes and businesses," said Nichole Heil, a senior climate and energy researcher at the Private Equity Stakeholder Project, in a news release. "FERC should give this BlackRock transaction that level of scrutiny." [...] Firms like BlackRock "are the last people we should want to be running our public utilities," said Kerwin Olson, executive director of Citizens Action Coalition. "The aim of private equity firms is to extract as much profit from the assets that they own," Olson told the Indiana Capital Chronicle. "And in this case, that asset would be our public utility." [Indiana Capital Chronicle, [7/22/26](#)]

Matsui's Trump Donors

Matsui has received \$41,500 from donors who have given millions to Trump committees. Kevin Nagle, the chairman of Sac Soccer Entertainment, has been one of Matsui's all time top donors, giving her campaigns \$37,600. Nagle also gave Trump committees over \$300,000. Matsui also received \$2,900 from Ronald S. Lauder, a longtime close friend of Trump who has donated \$5 million to Trump's MAGA Inc. PAC in 2025. Matsui also received \$1,000 from lobbyist W. Patrick Wilson, who was a Trump administration political appointee and campaign donor.

Matsui Trump Donors			
Donor Name	Occupation/ Employer	Matsui Cont.	Note
NAGLE, KEVIN	CHAIRMAN & CEO at SAC SOCCER ENTERTAIN MENT & HOLDINGS	\$37,600	Gave \$150,000 to Trump 47 Committee , and \$155,000 to Trump Victory . Large donations to other Republican groups, including \$100,000 to Congressional Leadership Fund.
LAUDER, RONALD S.		\$2,900	Lauder's family gave Matsui another \$5,800 on the same day. Friends with Trump, gave \$5 million to MAGA Inc. in 2025, and a total of \$200,000 to Trump Victory.
WILSON, W. PATRICK	SEMICONDU CTORS at MEDIATEK INC.	\$1,000	A political appointee in Trump's first term Donated to Trump's 2020 and 2024 campaigns. A federal lobbyist .
Total		\$41,500	

Missing Matsui

Doris Matsui is a creature of D.C. and barely pretends to be engaged with Sacramento. Until 2005, Matsui worked in Washington, D.C. as a federal lobbyist for corporate interests like the Tobacco Products Manufacturers Coalition. Soon after succeeding her late husband in the U.S. House, Roll Call

reported that Matsui declared her Maryland home her "permanent residence" in 2008 while representing Sacramento, and moved to strip the designation and repay the credits only after a Roll Call reporter called. Matsui took roughly \$2,800 in homestead tax breaks on a home "valued at nearly \$1.4 million," was required to repay back taxes to 2005, and her office explained it as "She submitted the form and they gave it to her." More recently, in 2025, a Sacramento Bee opinion columnist wrote that Matsui "doesn't have a place to live in Sacramento. She lives in D.C." Matsui herself has claimed to spend "roughly two-thirds of her time in Washington, D.C., and the other third in Sacramento." Matsui has owned a home in Sacramento since 2020 on which she does not take a "homeowners exemption" – a property tax exemption for owners who "occupy your home as your principal place of residence." Matsui's husband Roger Sant lists Washington, D.C. as his address in contributions to Matsui. During the current campaign, Matsui has refused to show up and speak to voters. The Sacramento Bee's editorial board declined to endorse Matsui after she refused both a debate and a joint interview. The Sacramento Bee called Matsui's refusal to debate "a step backward in local democracy and election transparency" and said "Matsui is the most notable political candidate in California and the only frontline Democrat who has declined to meet with us."

Matsui Was a DC Lobbyist

- **Matsui was registered as a federal lobbyist in 2005, representing organizations like the TOBACCO PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS COALITION.** [LDA.gov, accessed [8/5/26](#)]

Living In Maryland Primary Residence Since 2008

- **Matsui declared her Maryland home her "permanent residence" in 2008 while representing Sacramento, and moved to strip the designation and repay the credits only after a Roll Call reporter called.** Roll Call reported, "Despite representing California's 5th district since 2005, Rep. Doris Matsui (D) declared her permanent residence in Maryland in 2008 and was approved to receive tax benefits from the state. The Maryland Department of Assessments and Taxation said Thursday that an individual representing Matsui contacted the state to request that the homestead designation be removed from her home in Chevy Chase — and for a bill to repay tax credits previously applied to the home. Matsui's office acknowledged that the Californian had applied for and received a homestead exemption in Maryland as recently as March 2008 but could not confirm that the lawmaker had requested a status change after being contacted by Roll Call one day earlier. 'Staff called to verify that they had the [application] form when [the state] made the decision. I'm not aware of anything else,' Matsui spokeswoman Alexis Marks said." [Roll Call, [4/4/09](#)]
- **Matsui took roughly \$2,800 in homestead tax breaks on a home "valued at nearly \$1.4 million," was required to repay back taxes to 2005, and her office explained it as "She submitted the form and they gave it to her."** Roll Call reported, "According to Montgomery County tax records, Matsui's home, listed as her 'principal residence,' was valued at nearly \$1.4 million in 2008. The home received \$2,800 in tax breaks in its most recent bill, including a nearly \$2,000 discount from the county. Matsui purchased the Maryland home in 1979 with her late husband, former Rep. Robert Matsui (D-Calif.). Doris Matsui, a former lobbyist, also served in President Bill Clinton's administration before being elected to fill her husband's House seat after his death in 2005. [...] Instead, Matsui will be required to pay back taxes dating to 2005. [...] Asked how Matsui qualified for the tax break,

Marks said, 'She submitted the form [to Maryland] and they gave it to her.'" [Roll Call, [4/4/09](#)]

"Lives In DC," Not Sacramento

- **A Sacramento Bee opinion columnist wrote that Matsui "doesn't have a place to live in Sacramento. She lives in D.C."** Sacramento Bee opinion columnist LeBron Hill wrote, "Quite frankly, Matsui is acting like a politician who has been easily re-elected and never really challenged for 20 years. She doesn't have a place to live in Sacramento. She lives in D.C. so why would any of her constituents think they would be able to meet with her? But the responsibility of political representation falls on her voters as well. Election after election, voters placed their trust in her without her fully earning it." [Sacramento Bee opinion column, [3/26/25](#)]
- **Matsui claimed to spend "roughly two-thirds of her time in Washington, D.C., and the other third in Sacramento."** Comstock Mag reported, "The congresswoman spends roughly two-thirds of her time in Washington, D.C., and the other third in Sacramento. "We have one long week a month that we can be in our district, and in that week I work it hard, really hard," says Matsui." [Comstock Mag, [7/28/23](#)]

Matsui's Doesn't Receive Tax Exemption On Property in Sacramento

- **Matsui has owned 1901 13th Ave. in Sacramento since 2020.** [LexisNexis, Property History Report, accessed 8/5/26]

1901 13TH AVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818-4222						
Transaction History						
Sale Date	Buyer	Seller	Sale Price	Loan Amount	Lender	Recording Date
10/17/2022	MATSUI TRUST,	MATSUI, DORIS O				10/18/2022
10/17/2022	MATSUI MATSUI	MATSUI, DORIS O				10/18/2022
06/19/2020	MATSUI, DORIS	SANT, ROGER W				08/17/2020
08/11/2020	MATSUI, DORIS	TIMMONSWINNING TRUST	\$1,795,000			08/17/2020
08/11/2020	MATSUI, DORIS O	TIMMONSWINNING TRUST	\$1,795,000			08/17/2020
06/19/2020	MATSUI, DORIS O	SANT, ROGER W				08/17/2020
08/11/2020	MATSUI, DORIS O	THE TIMMONS WINNING REVOCABLE TRUST ESTATE TIMMONS, DENISE M	\$1,795,000			08/17/2020
02/12/2018	TIMMONSWINNING TRUST,	SCHWARTZEL FAMILY TRUST	\$2,583,000			02/20/2018

- **There is no "Homeowner's exemption" taken on the property at 1901 13TH AVE in Sacramento.** [Sacramento County Taxes, accessed [8/5/26](#)]

SACRAMENTO COUNTY PROPERTY TAX BILL			08/07/2026 (916) 874-6622 finance.sacounty.gov																															
CHAD RINDE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE TAX COLLECTOR			Secured Annual FOR FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2025 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2026																															
PARCEL NUMBER	TAX RATE AREA	BILL NUMBER																																
012-0404-014-0000	003-005	20250036364																																
SITU: 1901 13TH AVE			AD VALOREM TAX BREAKDOWN																															
MAILED TO: Protected per CA Govt. Code Sect. 7928.205 et seq.			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>TAX RATE</th> <th>AMOUNT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Countywide Tax (Secured)</td> <td>1.00000000%</td> <td>\$19,429.63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LOS RIOS COLLEGE GOB</td> <td>0.02080000%</td> <td>\$404.14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SACTO UNIFIED GOB</td> <td>0.12460000%</td> <td>\$2,420.93</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			TAX RATE	AMOUNT	Countywide Tax (Secured)	1.00000000%	\$19,429.63	LOS RIOS COLLEGE GOB	0.02080000%	\$404.14	SACTO UNIFIED GOB	0.12460000%	\$2,420.93																		
	TAX RATE	AMOUNT																																
Countywide Tax (Secured)	1.00000000%	\$19,429.63																																
LOS RIOS COLLEGE GOB	0.02080000%	\$404.14																																
SACTO UNIFIED GOB	0.12460000%	\$2,420.93																																
ESCROW COMPANY:			Please visit gproptax.sacounty.gov to view full details.																															
BILL MESSAGES: NO REMINDER WILL BE SENT FOR THE 2ND INSTALLMENT			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>VALUE AFTER EXEMPTION</th> <th>TAX RATE</th> <th>AD VALOREM TAX TOTAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>\$1,942,964</td> <td>1.14540000%</td> <td>\$22,254.70</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		VALUE AFTER EXEMPTION	TAX RATE	AD VALOREM TAX TOTAL	\$1,942,964	1.14540000%	\$22,254.70																								
VALUE AFTER EXEMPTION	TAX RATE	AD VALOREM TAX TOTAL																																
\$1,942,964	1.14540000%	\$22,254.70																																
ASSESSMENT INFORMATION			DIRECT LEVY																															
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>TAXABLE VALUE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>LAND</td> <td>\$324,729</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IMPROVEMENTS</td> <td>\$1,618,235</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FIXTURES</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PERSONAL PROPERTY</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TAXABLE VALUE</td> <td>\$1,942,964</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LESS: HOMEOWNERS' EXEMPTION</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OTHER EXEMPTION</td> <td>\$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>VALUE AFTER EXEMPTIONS</td> <td>\$1,942,964</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				TAXABLE VALUE	LAND	\$324,729	IMPROVEMENTS	\$1,618,235	FIXTURES	\$0	PERSONAL PROPERTY	\$0	TAXABLE VALUE	\$1,942,964	LESS: HOMEOWNERS' EXEMPTION	\$0	OTHER EXEMPTION	\$0	VALUE AFTER EXEMPTIONS	\$1,942,964	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>CONTACT NUMBER</th> <th>AMOUNT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0168 SAFCA O & M ASSESSMENT DIST #</td> <td>\$91.62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0198 SAFCA CONSOLIDATED CAP AS</td> <td>\$258.16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0595 SAC CITY LIGHT & LANDSCAPE</td> <td>\$100.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0614 SACRAMENTO LIBRARY SERVICE 1</td> <td>\$57.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0784 AMERICAN RIVER ZONE C</td> <td>\$9.18</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		CONTACT NUMBER	AMOUNT	0168 SAFCA O & M ASSESSMENT DIST #	\$91.62	0198 SAFCA CONSOLIDATED CAP AS	\$258.16	0595 SAC CITY LIGHT & LANDSCAPE	\$100.08	0614 SACRAMENTO LIBRARY SERVICE 1	\$57.00	0784 AMERICAN RIVER ZONE C	\$9.18
	TAXABLE VALUE																																	
LAND	\$324,729																																	
IMPROVEMENTS	\$1,618,235																																	
FIXTURES	\$0																																	
PERSONAL PROPERTY	\$0																																	
TAXABLE VALUE	\$1,942,964																																	
LESS: HOMEOWNERS' EXEMPTION	\$0																																	
OTHER EXEMPTION	\$0																																	
VALUE AFTER EXEMPTIONS	\$1,942,964																																	
CONTACT NUMBER	AMOUNT																																	
0168 SAFCA O & M ASSESSMENT DIST #	\$91.62																																	
0198 SAFCA CONSOLIDATED CAP AS	\$258.16																																	
0595 SAC CITY LIGHT & LANDSCAPE	\$100.08																																	
0614 SACRAMENTO LIBRARY SERVICE 1	\$57.00																																	
0784 AMERICAN RIVER ZONE C	\$9.18																																	
FEES AS OF 08/07/2026			DIRECT LEVIES TOTAL: \$516.04																															
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>AMOUNT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FIRST INSTALLMENT LATE PENALTY</td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SECOND INSTALLMENT LATE PENALTY</td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DELINQUENT COST</td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RETURNED PAYMENT FEE</td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RETURNED PAYMENT FEE 2</td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FEES TOTAL:</td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				AMOUNT	FIRST INSTALLMENT LATE PENALTY	\$0.00	SECOND INSTALLMENT LATE PENALTY	\$0.00	DELINQUENT COST	\$0.00	RETURNED PAYMENT FEE	\$0.00	RETURNED PAYMENT FEE 2	\$0.00	FEES TOTAL:	\$0.00	REASONS (IF APPLICABLE)																	
	AMOUNT																																	
FIRST INSTALLMENT LATE PENALTY	\$0.00																																	
SECOND INSTALLMENT LATE PENALTY	\$0.00																																	
DELINQUENT COST	\$0.00																																	
RETURNED PAYMENT FEE	\$0.00																																	
RETURNED PAYMENT FEE 2	\$0.00																																	
FEES TOTAL:	\$0.00																																	
			CALCULATION OF AMOUNT DUE																															
			<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>AD VALOREM TAX TOTAL:</td> <td>\$22,254.70</td> <td>ORIGINAL TOTAL DUE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DIRECT LEVIES TOTAL:</td> <td>\$516.04</td> <td>\$22,770.74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ASSESSOR PENALTY:</td> <td>\$0.00</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		AD VALOREM TAX TOTAL:	\$22,254.70	ORIGINAL TOTAL DUE	DIRECT LEVIES TOTAL:	\$516.04	\$22,770.74	ASSESSOR PENALTY:	\$0.00																						
AD VALOREM TAX TOTAL:	\$22,254.70	ORIGINAL TOTAL DUE																																
DIRECT LEVIES TOTAL:	\$516.04	\$22,770.74																																
ASSESSOR PENALTY:	\$0.00																																	

- The Homeowners exception can be applied for to get a “discount of \$7,000 of assessed value resulting in a savings of approximately \$70-\$80 in property taxes each year,” and it requires owners to “occupy your home as your principal place of residence.” [Sacramento County, accessed [8/5/26](#)]

Husband Lists D.C. Address In Donations

- Matsui’s husband Roger Sant lists Washington, D.C. as his address in contributions to Matsui. [FEC, accessed [8/1/26](#)]

Refusing To Debate

- The Sacramento Bee’s editorial board declined to endorse Matsui after she refused both a debate and a joint interview. The board wrote, "In the June California primary election, Rep. Doris Matsui will not be endorsed by The Sacramento Bee. Our policy is not to endorse political candidates who refuse to meet with us, and Matsui, who has served Sacramento in the House of Representatives for 20 years, has refused two different options we’d repeatedly proposed. The Bee had hoped to host Matsui and her most notable challenger, Sacramento City Councilmember Mai Vang, in a debate at our East Sacramento newsroom before an audience of Bee subscribers. Matsui declined. Then, we hoped to have Matsui and Vang together in a virtual interview process. This is the same process that McClatchy Media opinion journalists are conducting with candidates in nearly 50 races across the state, from Placer to San Luis Obispo counties. When we have more than three candidates to interview in a race, we prefer to split them up so that we can ask more candidates more questions across the hour-long interviews. If Matsui had accepted our

invitations, she would have been appearing only with Vang. She declined.” [Sacramento Bee, Editorial, [4/6/26](#)]

- **The Sacramento Bee called Matsui’s refusal to debate “a step backward in local democracy and election transparency” and said “Matsui is the most notable political candidate in California and the only frontline Democrat who has declined to meet with us.”** “Matsui’s rejection represents a step backward in local democracy and election transparency. A member of Congress for 20 years should be able to walk into any room, meet with anyone and answer any and all questions. Instead, Matsui is the most notable political candidate in California and the only frontline Democrat who has declined to meet with us.” [Sacramento Bee, Editorial, [4/6/26](#)]

Matsui Running Dirty Campaign

In May 2026, Matsui updated her campaign redbox to signal to outside groups to “promote one of the Republicans running against her” in an attempt to divert votes away from her opponent Mai Vang. Weeks later, Inclusion PAC spent nearly \$120,000 on digital ads to boost the Republican’s campaign – the PAC was funded exclusively by one of Matsui’s donors. Punchbowl News listed Matsui among the 2026 cycle’s “dirty tricks,” reporting she “used her red box to urge outside groups to spend for a GOP candidate and block primary challenger Mai Vang.” A columnist declared “the campaign Matsui is responsible for has been odious” and a “campaign of deception and obfuscation” for “elevating a Republican,” dodging campaigns, and inviting attacks on Vang’s patriotism.

- **May 2026: Matsui updated her “redbox” “to promote one of the Republicans running against her in #CA07, Zachariah Wooden. Likely geared towards making sure progressive Mai Vang doesn’t make it to the runoff.”** [Twitter, [5/15/26](#)]
 - **NOTE:** An [archived version of this redbox](#) can be found here.
- **In late May 2026, Inclusion PAC spent \$119,000 on digital ads to support Zachariah Wooden.** [FEC, accessed [7/31/26](#)]
- **Inclusion PAC was funded by UA Local 447 PAC, which had donated to Matsui.** [Twitter, [5/15/26](#)]
- **Punchbowl News listed Matsui among the 2026 cycle’s “dirty tricks,” reporting she “used her red box to urge outside groups to spend for a GOP candidate and block primary challenger Mai Vang”** Punchbowl News reported, “Outright meddling. A number of incumbents and super PACs didn’t try to hide their work to pick their preferred opponent. Even then, a lot of it went unnoticed. Some examples: the New York GOP ran mailers raising the name ID of a leftist candidate in the primary to take on Rep. Nick LaLota (R-N.Y.). A group backing Rep. Max Miller (R-Ohio) sent texts elevating their preferred Democratic opponent. The House GOP-aligned Congressional Leadership Fund sent texts aimed at helping the more progressive Democrat in the race for Rep. David Valadao’s (R-Calif.) seat. In California, Rep. Derek Tran (D-Calif.) tried to boost a far-right Republican into the top two. It didn’t work. Rep. Doris Matsui (D-Calif.) used her red box to urge outside groups to spend for a GOP candidate and block primary challenger Mai Vang from

advancing out of the jungle primary. A super PAC answered her call, but it still didn't work." [Punchbowl News, [7/31/26](#)]

- **A columnist declared “the campaign Matsui is responsible for has been odious” and a “campaign of deception and obfuscation” for “elevating a Republican,” dodging campaigns, and inviting attacks on Vang’s patriotism.** A column in the Sacramento Bee stated, “Sacramento Rep. Doris Matsui is doing everything she can to cling to power, including taking out a \$1.4 million loan for her campaign and elevating a Republican opponent because Matsui is clearly afraid of the first serious Democrat she has faced in 20 years of easy elections against lightweight candidates [...] These more conservative communities are undoubtedly why Matsui is trying to elevate Zachariah Wooden, a young Republican who apparently didn't have any campaign money or name recognition until Matsui began giving him the latter. Matsui's campaign website is touting Wooden as “the strongest Republican in the June 2 primary.” And a union that has contributed money to Matsui in the past has formed a political committee to get Wooden's name in wider circulation through digital ads, a political reporter for Axios, Andrew Solender, has revealed. [...] But this isn't even the point. The point here is that the longest serving politician in Sacramento has run a campaign of deception and obfuscation. Matsui was the only frontline Democrat or Republican who refused to participate in The Bee's endorsement interviews. [...] Why is she elevating a Republican? Why does a sleazy story about Vang's patriotism suddenly appear in the conservative press days before the election? I don't have proof that Matsui's camp planted the story, and I'm not saying they did. But, regardless, the campaign Matsui is responsible for has been odious.” [Sacramento Bee, Column, [5/26/26](#)]

Soft on ICE, Backed By ICE Contractors

While facing a still political challenge, Matsui has claimed she wants to take on Trump’s ICE and hold them accountable – but her voting record and campaign donor rolls show she’s long been content with ICE. The Sacramento Bee noted that Matsui’s 2026 campaign rhetoric on ICE “marks a sharp departure from her congressional voting record” where “she voted for five government spending packages that included nearly \$42 billion for ICE.” Matsui voted for ICE funding even as “one-third of House Democrats” opposed and “cited funding for the first Trump administration as ‘immoral’ immigration policies and ‘unchecked’ military spending.’ Matsui also voted for a 2019 border supplemental funding bill that progressives criticized for giving Trump “additional federal money without first attaching guardrails to ensure the funds can’t be diverted for other uses, including increased deportations,” while moderates supported the bill for including more ICE funding. In recent years, Matsui has received \$41,000 from corporate PACs tied to some of ICE’s top contractors profiting off of Trump’s ICE.

Matsui’s Rhetoric on ICE Contradicted Her Voting Record to Fund ICE

- **Matsui’s 2026 campaign rhetoric on ICE “marks a sharp departure from her congressional voting record” where “she voted for five government spending packages that included nearly \$42 billion for ICE.”** Sac Bee reported, “Over the last several months, as immigration debates have intensified across the country, Rep. Doris Matsui has repeatedly told Sacramento-area voters that she opposes giving any money to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. [...] But Matsui’s recent rhetoric marks a sharp departure from her congressional voting record in recent years. Between 2019 and 2024,

alongside the majority of Democrats, she voted for five government spending packages that included nearly \$42 billion for ICE. Her shift in tone comes as many Americans have soured on President Donald Trump's escalation in immigration enforcement, according to multiple national polls. In response, Democrats in Congress have largely unified in opposition to new funding for immigration enforcement agencies absent major reforms." [Sac Bee, [5/11/26](#)]

- **Matsui voted for ICE funding even as “one-third of House Democrats” opposed and “cited funding for the first Trump administration as “immoral” immigration policies and “unchecked” military spending.”** Sac Bee reported, “Matsui’s votes for more ICE funding from 2019 to 2024 came through large appropriations bills, which provide funding to several departments and agencies for the fiscal year. In nearly each instance, she voted alongside the vast majority of House Democrats. The exception came in 2019 with H.R. 1158, a spending package that included billions for the military and DHS. The bill passed despite opposition from roughly one-third of House Democrats. Criticism mainly came from progressives and members of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus, who cited funding for the first Trump administration as “immoral” immigration policies and “unchecked” military spending.” [Sac Bee, [5/11/26](#)]
- **2019: Matsui voted to pass the Senate’s border supplemental.** According to the U.S. House Clerk, the motion to concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 3401 passed 305-102 on June 27, 2019, with Republicans voting 176-7 in favor and Democrats splitting 129-95; Matsui voted yes. HR 3401 was the “Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Humanitarian Assistance and Security at the Southern Border Act, 2019.” [H.R. 3401, Vote 429, [6/27/19](#)]
 - **Progressives criticized the bill for giving Trump “additional federal money without first attaching guardrails to ensure the funds can’t be diverted for other uses, including increased deportations,” while moderates supported the bill for including more ICE funding.** Politico reported, “House Democrats unveiled the new package overnight, which adds several Democratic provisions to the Senate’s \$4.6 billion bill aimed at addressing the sprawling humanitarian crisis at the border. The additions — many of which come from the House’s competing legislation — would place additional restrictions on how the administration may spend the money and specify basic standards of care for the thousands of migrant children being held at the border, many in reportedly horrific conditions. Democratic moderates specifically criticized a move to reduce funding for the Immigration and Customs Enforcement agency, compared to the Senate version, which they argued would cut funding to combat human trafficking. [...] But Pelosi also faced pushback from a vocal coalition of progressives, who didn’t want to send Trump any additional federal money without first attaching guardrails to ensure the funds can’t be diverted for other uses, including increased deportations. Progressive leaders, who had fought tooth and nail to add a slew of new provisions in the House bill, say they still oppose the Senate version — and complained about their centrist colleagues “No one should be advocating for the Republican proposal in the Senate if you’re in the House,” Rep. Mark Pocan (D-Wis.), co-chair of the Congressional Progressive Caucus, said Thursday. The CPC also released a sharply worded statement on the Senate bill, before their leaders had officially announced plans to take it up: “The

Republican Senate Emergency Supplemental is entirely insufficient to protect vulnerable children in our care.” [Politico, [6/27/19](#)]

Matsui Has Received Over \$40,000 From PACs of Top ICE Contractors

Matsui ICE Contractor Donations						
ICE contract rank	Company	ICE spending 1/20/25-1/19/26	Total given to Matsui	# of cont.	Donating PAC	Relationship
24	DELL FEDERAL SYSTEMS L.P	\$25,170,937	\$21,500	11	Dell Technologies, Inc. PAC	Parent company (Dell Federal Systems is Dell Technologies' federal arm)
72	MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.	\$7,018,595	\$10,500	10	Motorola Solutions, Inc. PAC (incl. predecessor "Motorola PAC")	Same company
73	RELX PLC	\$6,980,987	\$4,000	4	RELX Inc. PAC	Same company (U.S. arm; parent of LexisNexis)
14	DELOITTE CONSULTING LLP	\$53,081,126	\$2,000	1	Deloitte PAC (filed as "Deloitte & Touche Federal PAC")	Same firm
75	U.S. BANCORP	\$6,599,456	\$2,000	1	U.S. Bancorp Political Participation Program	Same company
29	Amergis Healthcare Staffing	\$20,194,961.00	\$1,000	1	Maxim Healthcare Services Inc. PAC	Parent company (Amergis is the rebranded Maxim Staffing Solutions, Maxim Healthcare Group)
	TOTAL		\$41,000	27	Maxim Healthcare Services Inc. PAC	Parent company (Amergis is the rebranded Maxim Staffing Solutions, Maxim Healthcare Group)

[Investigative Reporting Workshop, [2/17/26](#)]

Funded by Defense Contractors Profiting Off Genocide in Gaza

Matsui's campaign has taken \$423,000 from PACs of corporations that are profiting off genocide in Gaza. The American Friends Service Committee compiled a list of 65 companies that "have provided Israel with weapons and other military equipment used in its attacks on Gaza, the West Bank, Lebanon, and Syria since October 2023." From that list, Matsui has received 259 contributions from 14 of the companies. Matsui's donors include major defense contractor PACs such as Honeywell, Northrop Grumman, Lockheed Martin, and Raytheon.

Companies Profiting from the Gaza Genocide Donating To Matsui		
Company (AFSC list)	# of cont.	Total given to Matsui
Honeywell International Inc	50	\$88,500
Northrop Grumman	27	\$47,000
Google/Alphabet	28	\$44,500
General Motors	16	\$38,000
Amazon	13	\$35,500
Boeing	25	\$32,500
Cisco	13	\$32,000
Lockheed Martin	22	\$22,000
RTX (formerly Raytheon)	13	\$20,500
Microsoft	12	\$19,500
Toyota	19	\$19,500
General Electric	11	\$12,000
Ford Motor Company	5	\$6,500
Caterpillar	5	\$5,000
Total (14 companies)	259	\$423,000

[AFSC, accessed [8/1/26](#)]

M4A Opposition, Support From Pharma and Insurance Industry PACs

Matsui has taken the side of her big pharma and insurance industry corporate donors and opposes Medicare for All. Matsui has not been a sponsor of recent Medicare for All legislation. She told a reporter that "she does not support Medicare for All," saying "the path there is complicated." At the April 2026 forum Vang said Matsui opposes single-payer because she "takes money from big pharma

and insurance companies," and Matsui answered, "I'm not averse to Medicare For All, but there are other pathways there, too" Matsui has specifically received at least 257 donations totaling \$504,700 from corporate PACs and trade associations with documented histories opposing Medicare For All. More generally, throughout her career, Matsui has received at least \$569,000 from pharmaceutical and insurance industry PACs, and another \$564,000 from healthcare provider PACs.

- **Matsui has not been a sponsor of the Medicare for All Act in recent sessions.** [HR 3069, introduced [4/29/25](#); HR 3421, introduced [5/17/23](#); HR 1976, introduced [3/17/21](#); HR 1384, introduced [2/27/19](#)]
- **Matsui told SFGATE that "she does not support Medicare for All," saying "the path there is complicated."** SFGATE reported, "The two candidates also disagree over policy areas. Vang wants to abolish Immigration and Customs Enforcement, supports both the federal wealth tax proposal from U.S. Rep. Ro Khanna and the state wealth tax ballot initiative, and supports the single-payer health care reform proposal called Medicare for all. Matsui said she supports increasing taxes on billionaires but declined to support either Khanna's proposal or the proposed state ballot initiative. She has not called for the abolishment of ICE. And she said she does not support Medicare for All. 'Universal health care is what we need, but the path there is complicated,' Matsui said." [SFGATE, [3/18/26](#)]
- **At the April 2026 forum Vang said Matsui opposes single-payer because she "takes money from big pharma and insurance companies," and Matsui answered, "I'm not averse to Medicare For All, but there are other pathways there, too"** The Sacramento Bee reported, "Vang said Matsui does not support a single-payer health plan like Medicare For All because she 'takes money from big pharma and insurance companies.' Matsui said she is not against Medicare For All. 'I'm not averse to Medicare For All, but there are other pathways there, too, and I think we should examine them,' Matsui said. 'We are fighting this administration that keeps cutting it. We are working every step of the way to make sure we expand our health care. I'm there. I love national health care. We are gonna get there.'" [Sacramento Bee, [4/3/26](#)]
- **Matsui has received \$569,000 from Pharma & Insurance industry PACs.** [Your Rep's Record, accessed, [8/4/26](#)]
- **Matsui has received \$563,000 from Healthcare Provider PACs.** [Your Rep's Record, accessed, [8/4/26](#)]

Matsui PAC Donors Opposing M4A			
PAC / Committee	Total	# Cont.	Note
American Hospital Association PAC	\$44,200	26	PAHCF member ; own statements & congressional testimony against M4A; lobbied against
Blue Shield of California PAC	\$44,000	22	CEO called Sanders' M4A bill "dead on arrival"; funds CAHP , lead CA anti-single-payer group

The Council of Insurance Agents & Brokers PAC	\$68,000	29	Standing “Oppose Medicare For All” plank ; PAHCF member
American Medical Association PAC	\$60,500	33	PAHCF founding member; House of Delegates voted 53–47 to keep single-payer opposition
UnitedHealth Group PAC	\$36,500	12	CEO : M4A would “destabilize the nation’s health system”; M4A lobbying filings
American College of Radiology Association PAC	\$59,500	25	Gave to PAHCF
National Association of Insurance and Financial Advisors PAC	\$54,000	24	“Proud member” of PAHCF ; 2021 letter opposing M4A and public option
Amgen Inc. PAC	\$40,000	22	Lobbying filings since 2019 attacking “ single-payer government run price controls”
Cigna PACs	\$15,000	7	CEO : “We don’t believe a one-size-fits-all financing program like Medicare-for-all is the solution”
American College of Surgeons Professional Association PAC	\$27,000	13	9 registered M4A lobbyists in Q1 2019
Federation of American Hospitals PAC	\$14,500	10	PAHCF originator; standing anti-M4A issue page
Centene Corporation PAC	\$6,000	6	CEO : M4A is “a political sound bite” the country “could not afford”
HCA Inc. Good Government Fund	\$7,000	4	PAHCF member; 3 registered M4A lobbyists
CVS Health PAC	\$4,500	3	CVS Health gave PAHCF \$5 million in 2020 - the largest known single contribution
American Assn of Neurological Surgeons PAC (NeurosurgeryPAC)	\$3,500	2	Listed member of PAHCF
NABIP PAC + Nat’l Assn of Health Underwriters PAC	\$6,000	6	PAHCF member; lobbied on M4A bills; CA chapter ran campaign to kill AB 1400
Bristol-Myers Squibb PACs	\$6,000	6	Lobbying on Medicare for All
LifePoint Health PAC	\$2,000	1	Listed member of PAHCF

Universal Health Services Employees' Good Government Fund	\$3,000	2	Listed member of PAHCF
Biotechnology Industry Organization PAC (BIO PAC)	\$3,500	4	PAHCF member; 18 M4A lobbyists in Q1 2019
Total	\$504,700	257	